

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Viggua 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/479,862	01/10/2000	TAKANORI OKURA	OKURA=1A	3626
1444	7590 08/05/2	13		
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			EXAMINER	
SUITE 300	STREET, NW		SHUKLA, RAM R	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	21
		DATE MAILED: 08/05/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/479,862	OKURA ET AL.			
Advisory Auton	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ram R. Shukla	1632			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 23 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date	·				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 1 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) 🗵 they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);				
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): See Continuation Sheet.				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
7.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .					
Claim(s) objected to: None.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>3 and 17-20</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10. Other:	Ŷ À				
RAM R. SHUKLA, PH.D.					
	PRIMARY EXAMINER	Ram R. Shukla, Ph.D. Primary Examiner			



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Newly presented claims 21 and 22 raise new issues and will require further consideration and search. For example, claims 21 and 22 raise new 112 2nd paragraph issues since it is unclear as to what is the claimed invention.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Proposed amendments to claims 3 and 17, when entered, will overcome the 112 first paragraph enablement rejection of claims 3 and 17-20.

RAM R. SHUKLA, PH.D.